

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,487	08/25/2003	Mark J. Buenz	21220.04136 (GR202AS050)	2367
24024	7590 05/04/2005		EXAM	INER
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP			SWARTHOUT, BRENT	
800 SUPERIO	OR AVENUE			
SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2636	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/647,487	BUENZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Brent A. Swarthout	2636		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		·		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Apr 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on 14 Apr	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-37 and 48-62 is/are 5) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 38,41-44,46 and 47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 39,40 and 45 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	re withdrawn from consideration	on.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received.	cation No eived in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	(DTO 442)		
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/647,487

Art Unit: 2636

1. Claims 1-30 are allowed.

- 2. Claims 39,40 and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - a. Claims 38,41-44 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al.

Tanaka discloses resonant circuit C1 (Fig. 20) at a vehicle wheel, monitoring tire pressure with resonant circuit C1 (col. 8, lines 32-36), generating a variable frequency signal via circuit 33, magnetically coupling the variable frequency to resonant circuit (col. 8, lines 15-20), inducing a change in the resonant circuit indicative of pressure (col.8, lines 20-35; col.7, lines 49-57), E-field (or electromagnetically) coupling the resonant frequency to a receiver circuit (col.9, lines 20-25; col.10, lines 3-17) and finding a pressure reading from the coupled resonant frequency (col.8, lines 35-36), except for specifically stating that aircraft tire pressure is sensed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to sense aircraft tire pressure using the system of Tanaka, in order that an Application/Control Number: 10/647,487

Art Unit: 2636

aircraft could have had accurate pressure readings, it being noted that it would have been an obvious manner of intended use to use a tire pressure detection system with aircraft tires as opposed to any other type of vehicle tires.

Regarding claim 42, Tanaka teaches use of phase difference detection 200.

Regarding claim 43, Tanaka teaches generating frequencies over different ranges including the resonant frequency (col. 10, lines 3-17).

Regarding claims 46-47, choosing to transmit pressure readings over a bus or use a non-volatile indicator would have been obvious since such bus is standard on aircraft for conveying parameter information and a non-volatile indicator would have been obvious in order to prevent loss of data.

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bankart, Tsagas, Morrison, Jr., Mueller, Fritze, Bartels and Nakanishi disclose tire pressure sensing systems.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brent A. Swarthout whose telephone number is 571-272-2979. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass, can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brent A Swarthout Examiner Art Unit 2636

BRENT A. SWARTHOUT PRIMARY EXAMINER